

# Block representations and their properties

Brian Pike  
(joint with James Damon)

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill  
University of Toronto

Workshop on Free Divisors, University of Warwick,  
May 31–June 4, 2011



# Outline

- 1 Free divisors
- 2 Block representations
- 3 Examples



# Motivation

- For a vector space of square matrices (e.g.,  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $M(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{Sk}_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$ ), find a free divisor  $V$  which includes the hypersurface of singular matrices as a component.
- Even better if
  - $V = (\text{free divisor}) \cup \{\text{singular matrices}\}$
  - $V = H^{-1}(0)$  is  $H$ -holonomic



# Saito's criterion

## Theorem (Saito)

Let  $\delta^1, \dots, \delta^n \in \theta_n$  with  $\delta^i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ . Let

$M = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0} \{ \delta^1, \dots, \delta^n \}$ . If

- 1  $M$  is a Lie algebra, and
- 2 the coefficient determinant  $h = \det(a_{ji}(z))$  defines a reduced hypersurface  $(V, 0)$ ,

then  $(V, 0)$  is a free divisor with  $\text{Derlog}(V) = M$ .



# Representations

## Definition

An *equidimensional representation*  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{W})$  is a rational representation of a connected complex algebraic Lie group with an open orbit  $\Omega$  and  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W})$ .

- If  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathfrak{g}$  is a basis and each  $\delta^i = \xi_{E_i}$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{W}$  obtained by differentiating  $\rho$ , then  $h = \det(a_{ij}(z))$  defines the *exceptional orbit variety*  $V = \mathcal{W} \setminus \Omega$ .
- (Mond) By Saito's criterion, if  $h$  is reduced then  $V$  is a *linear free divisor*.
- If  $h$  is not reduced then  $V$  has a "free\*" divisor structure.

# Representations

## Definition

An *equidimensional representation*  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{W})$  is a rational representation of a connected complex algebraic Lie group with an open orbit  $\Omega$  and  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W})$ .

- If  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathfrak{g}$  is a basis and each  $\delta^i = \xi_{E_i}$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{W}$  obtained by differentiating  $\rho$ , then  $h = \det(a_{ij}(z))$  defines the *exceptional orbit variety*  $V = \mathcal{W} \setminus \Omega$ .
- (Mond) By Saito's criterion, if  $h$  is reduced then  $V$  is a *linear free divisor*.
- If  $h$  is not reduced then  $V$  has a "free\*" divisor structure.

# Representations

## Definition

An *equidimensional representation*  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{W})$  is a rational representation of a connected complex algebraic Lie group with an open orbit  $\Omega$  and  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W})$ .

- If  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathfrak{g}$  is a basis and each  $\delta^i = \xi_{E_i}$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{W}$  obtained by differentiating  $\rho$ , then  $h = \det(a_{ij}(z))$  defines the *exceptional orbit variety*  $V = \mathcal{W} \setminus \Omega$ .
- (Mond) By Saito's criterion, if  $h$  is reduced then  $V$  is a *linear free divisor*.
- If  $h$  is not reduced then  $V$  has a "free\*" divisor structure.

# Representations

## Definition

An *equidimensional representation*  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{W})$  is a rational representation of a connected complex algebraic Lie group with an open orbit  $\Omega$  and  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W})$ .

- If  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathfrak{g}$  is a basis and each  $\delta^i = \xi_{E_i}$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{W}$  obtained by differentiating  $\rho$ , then  $h = \det(a_{ij}(z))$  defines the *exceptional orbit variety*  $V = \mathcal{W} \setminus \Omega$ .
- (Mond) By Saito's criterion, if  $h$  is reduced then  $V$  is a *linear free divisor*.
- If  $h$  is not reduced then  $V$  has a “free\* divisor structure.”



# Linear free divisors

- Linear free divisors using primarily reductive groups have been studied (e.g., [BM06], [GMNRS09], etc.)
- We use primarily solvable groups.



# Why solvable groups?

- 1 The complex analogues of the following matrix factorizations involve equidimensional representations of solvable groups:
  - Cholesky factorization for symmetric matrices
  - LU factorization for general  $n \times n$  matrices
  - A Cholesky-like factorization for skew-symmetric matrices
- 2 Representations of solvable groups have a complete flag of invariant subspaces (Lie-Kolchin Theorem)



# Ex: Cholesky representation

- Let  $L_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the group of  $n \times n$  invertible lower triangular matrices.
- $L_n(\mathbb{C})$  acts on  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{C})$  by  $A \cdot M = AMA^T$ .
- Equidimensional!
- Exceptional orbit variety?



# Ex: Cholesky representation

- Let  $L_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the group of  $n \times n$  invertible lower triangular matrices.
- $L_n(\mathbb{C})$  acts on  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{C})$  by  $A \cdot M = AMA^T$ .
- Equidimensional!
- Exceptional orbit variety?



# Ex: Cholesky representation

Matrix of coefficients for  $3 \times 3$ , for some choice of bases:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2x_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{12} & x_{11} & 0 & x_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ x_{13} & 0 & x_{11} & 0 & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ 0 & 2x_{12} & 0 & 2x_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{13} & x_{12} & x_{23} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 2x_{13} & 0 & 2x_{23} & 2x_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

Is determinant reduced?



# Ex: Cholesky representation

- Consider the partial flag of invariant subspaces of  $\text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\{0\} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C}).$$

- Kernels of corresponding quotient representations ( $L_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C})/W)$ ) are

$$\{\pm I\} \subset \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset L_3(\mathbb{C}).$$



# Ex: Cholesky representation

- Consider the partial flag of invariant subspaces of  $\text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\{0\} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C}).$$

- Kernels of corresponding quotient representations ( $L_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C})/W)$ ) are

$$\{\pm I\} \subset \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset L_3(\mathbb{C}).$$



# Ex: Cholesky representation

With bases chosen to respect this structure:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2x_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{12} & x_{11} & x_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2x_{12} & 2x_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{13} & 0 & 0 & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ 0 & x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2x_{13} & 2x_{23} & 2x_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

Exceptional orbit variety is the free divisor defined by

$$x_{11} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$





# Block representations

Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$  be a rational representation of a connected complex algebraic Lie group with a partial flag of invariant subspaces

$$\{0\} = W_0 \subset \cdots \subset W_l = W.$$

Let  $K_j = \ker(G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W/W_j))$ , so that

$$K_0 \subset \cdots \subset K_l = G.$$



# Block representations

## Definition

$\rho$  (with the invariant subspaces) is a *candidate block representation* if

1.  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(K_j) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , and
2. the *relative coefficient determinant*  $g_j : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is nonzero for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

If also

3. each  $g_j$  is reduced and  $\{g_j\}$  are relatively prime, then  $\rho$  is a *block representation*. If (3) does not hold,  $\rho$  is a *non-reduced block representation*.

# The matrix of a block representation

Using bases complementary to  $W_j$  in  $W_{j+1}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_j$  in  $\mathfrak{k}_{j+1}$  makes the matrix of coefficients block lower triangular:

$$\begin{array}{l} W_l/W_{l-1} \\ \vdots \\ W_1/W_0 \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \overbrace{\quad}^{\mathfrak{k}_l/\mathfrak{k}_{l-1}} & & \overbrace{\quad}^{\mathfrak{k}_1/\mathfrak{k}_0} \\ A_{l,l} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{l,1} & \cdots & A_{1,1} \end{array} \right\},$$

Note that  $g_j = \det(A_{j,j})$ .



# The exceptional orbit variety of a block representation

## Theorem

*If  $\rho$  is a block representation, then its exceptional orbit variety is a linear free divisor defined by*

$$\prod_{j=1}^l g_j = 0. \quad (1)$$

*If  $\rho$  is a non-reduced block representation, then its exceptional orbit variety is a linear free\* divisor defined with non-reduced structure by (1).*



# Quotient Property

If  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(W)$  is a block representation with invariant subspaces

$$\{0\} = W_0 \subset \cdots \subset W_l = W,$$

then  $\bar{\rho} : G/K_j \rightarrow GL(W/W_j)$  is a block representation with invariant subspaces

$$\{0\} \simeq W_j/W_j \subset \cdots \subset W_l/W_j = W/W_j$$

and coefficient determinant

$$\prod_{i=j+1}^l g_i.$$

Thus have (free divisor) = (free divisor)  $\cup$  (another hypersurface).



# Quotient Property: Example

From the  $3 \times 3$  Cholesky representation, can recover

$$x_{11} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{11} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

using the quotient property.



# Extension Property

Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be a representation,  $V \subset W$  an invariant subspace, and  $K = \ker(G \rightarrow GL(W/V))$ . If

- $\bar{\rho} : G/K \rightarrow GL(W/V)$  is a block representation,
- $\dim(V) = \dim(K)$ , and
- the relative coefficient determinant for  $K$  acting on  $V$  is reducible and relatively prime to the coefficient determinant of  $\bar{\rho}$

then  $\rho$  is a block representation with invariant subspaces

$$\{0\} \subset V \subset \pi^{-1}(W_1) \subset \cdots \subset \pi^{-1}(W_{l-1}) \subset W$$

and with one new relative coefficient determinant.



# Towers

Often have homomorphisms between representations of matrix groups on spaces of matrices (i.e., pad in obvious ways)

A *tower of block representations* is a chain of inclusions of representations

$$(G_1, W_1) \hookrightarrow (G_2, W_2) \hookrightarrow (G_3, W_3) \hookrightarrow \dots,$$

each of which is a block representation, such that for all  $j$ ,

$$(G_{j-1}, W_{j-1}) \hookrightarrow (G_j, W_j) \rightarrow (G_j/K_j, W_j/V_j)$$

is an isomorphism (here,  $V_j$  is the largest nontrivial invariant subspace in the block representation of  $(G_j, W_j)$  and  $K_j$  is the corresponding kernel).

Consequence: Add terms to the free divisors at every step





# Restriction Property

Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$  be a block representation. If

- we have a  $G$ -invariant subspace

$$W_{j-1} \subset \overline{W} \subset W_j$$

and an algebraic group

$$K_{j-1} \subset \overline{K} \subset K_j$$

with  $\dim(\overline{W}) = \dim(\overline{K})$ , and

- the relative coefficient determinant of  $\overline{K}/K_{j-1}$  on  $\overline{W}/W_{j-1}$  and  $g_j|_{\overline{W}}$  are reduced and relatively prime,

then restricting  $\rho$  to

$$\overline{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\overline{W})$$

gives a block representation.



# Examples on symmetric: 1

$L_1(\mathbb{C})$  acting on  $\text{Sym}_1(\mathbb{C})$  by  $A \cdot X = AXA^T$  gives LFD

$$x_{11} = 0.$$

Using extension property, get a tower of LFDs

$$x_{11} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} & x_{34} \\ x_{14} & x_{24} & x_{34} & x_{44} \end{vmatrix} \cdots$$



## Examples on symmetric: 2

Or, start with normal crossings divisor  $\prod_{i=1}^m x_{ii} = 0$  (using diagonal matrices for the group and the vector space). Use extension property to get a tower of LFDs defined by principal minors of

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & & & x_{1,m+1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & x_{m,m} & x_{m,m+1} & \cdots & x_{m,n} \\ x_{1,m+1} & \cdots & x_{m,m+1} & x_{m+1,m+1} & \cdots & x_{m+1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1,n} & \cdots & x_{m,n} & x_{m+1,n} & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix},$$

for any  $n \geq m$



# Examples on symmetric: 3

Use restriction on  $3 \times 3$  Cholesky:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & \\ 0 & * & \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ acting on } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

Get the free divisor defined by

$$x_{12} \cdot x_{22} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

which extends to a tower.



# Examples on symmetric: 4

Use restriction on  $4 \times 4$  Cholesky:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & & \\ 0 & * & & \\ 0 & * & * & \\ 0 & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ acting on } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_4(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

Get the free divisor defined by

$$x_{13} \cdot x_{23} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{13} & x_{14} \\ x_{23} & x_{24} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ x_{23} & x_{33} & x_{34} \\ x_{24} & x_{34} & x_{44} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

which extends to a tower.

# Examples on symmetric: 5

(Non-solvable) Consider

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ acting on } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_3(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

Get the free divisor defined by

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{23} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

which extends to a tower.



# Examples on general: 1

Let  $GL_n \times GL_m$  act on  $M(n, m, \mathbb{C})$  by  $(A, B) \cdot X = AXB^{-1}$ .

Start with  $n = m = 1$  and use extension property repeatedly (in a particular way) to get a tower of free divisors on  $M(1, 1, \mathbb{C}), M(1, 2, \mathbb{C}), M(2, 2, \mathbb{C}), M(2, 3, \mathbb{C}), M(3, 3, \mathbb{C}),$  etc. These give *modified* LU decompositions

Example: On  $M(2, 3, \mathbb{C}),$

$$x_{11} \cdot x_{12} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$



## Examples on general: 2

Or, use restriction property to restrict to the subspace where  $x_{11} = 0$ . Then for  $n \geq 2$ , using the appropriate group, get a tower, including, e.g.,

$$x_{12} \cdot x_{21} \cdot x_{22} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$





# Examples on general: 3

(Non-solvable) Or, start with a free divisor from [BM06], defined by the product of the maximal minors of a generic  $n \times (n + 1)$  matrix.

Can expand each of these (in same way) to get a tower including, e.g.,

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$



# Future work

- An arbitrary Lie group is a mixture of reductive and solvable; is there a similar “decomposition” for linear free divisors?
- Why do many free divisors take the form of “determinantal arrangements”?
- Questions?



# Future work

- An arbitrary Lie group is a mixture of reductive and solvable; is there a similar “decomposition” for linear free divisors?
- Why do many free divisors take the form of “determinantal arrangements”?
- Questions?



# References

- [BM06] Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz and David Mond, *Linear free divisors and quiver representations*, Singularities and computer algebra, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 324, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006, pp. 41–77. MR 2228227 (2007d:16028)
- [GMNRS09] Michel Granger, David Mond, Alicia Nieto-Reyes, and Mathias Schulze, *Linear free divisors and the global logarithmic comparison theorem*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59** (2009), no. 2, 811–850. MR 2521436 (2010g:32047)
- [Sai80] Kyoji Saito, *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), no. 2, 265–291. MR 586450 (83h:32023)